The College of Education offers exemplary programs that are unique in the state, nationally prominent, and compare well to other public AAU institutions in research and teaching quality and productivity, despite comparatively modest resources.

As shown in Table 1, state expenditures per number of full-time faculty members are low compared to the nine comparator institutions. Faculty salaries are also below average, but are especially low for associate and full professors. The number of full-time faculty members is also rather small in comparison.

Table 2 displays data on research quality and productivity. Total research expenditures for the 2000-2001 fiscal year were $13.8 million, which is ranked 6th among the nine comparator institutions. Research expenditures per number of full-time faculty were ranked 1st, however. In addition to success in garnering external funds, Table 2 also shows that education faculty members are highly productive scholars. The College ranked 3rd in number of books published per faculty, 2nd in book chapters, 2nd in refereed journal articles, and 3rd in other publications.

Table 3 shows the data for teacher quality and productivity. As can be seen in this table, the College ranked 3rd among the nine comparator institutions in generation of undergraduate credit hours and 1st in graduate credit hours. The relatively high number of MA/EdS degrees awarded per faculty member reflects the fact that all pre-service teacher preparation programs in the College culminate at the graduate level and prepare teacher leaders for the state. Quality of graduates is reflected in the 100% passing rate for graduates on state and national exams. The College is ranked 9th in number of PhD/EdD degrees awarded per faculty. As acknowledged in the report to the Presidential Task Force on the Future of the University, one of the main challenges facing the College is how to emphasize doctoral programs while maintaining the quality of nondoctoral programs and remaining responsive to state education needs.
Table 1
2001-2002 National Comparison of Resources

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number of Full-Time Faculty&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
<th>State Expenditures&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
<th>State Expenditures per Faculty&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
<th>Faculty Salary (Full)&lt;sup&gt;d&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
<th>Faculty Salary (Associate)&lt;sup&gt;d&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
<th>Faculty Salary (Assistant)&lt;sup&gt;d&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Georgia 236</td>
<td>Wisconsin $30,390,162</td>
<td>Minnesota $220,927</td>
<td>Indiana $86,664</td>
<td>Minnesota $63,329</td>
<td>Missouri $54,184</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wisconsin 162</td>
<td>Minnesota $28,278,782</td>
<td>Wisconsin $187,593</td>
<td>Minnesota $86,364</td>
<td>Missouri $62,211</td>
<td>Wisconsin $50,882</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indiana 135</td>
<td>Georgia $22,000,000</td>
<td>Missouri $170,424</td>
<td>Texas $83,436</td>
<td>Indiana $61,467</td>
<td>Indiana $50,030</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ohio State 131</td>
<td>Indiana $18,480,223</td>
<td>Penn State $169,218</td>
<td>Wisconsin $82,495</td>
<td>Wisconsin $60,614</td>
<td>Minnesota $49,733</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minnesota 128</td>
<td>Texas $13,707,474</td>
<td>Indiana $136,890</td>
<td>Georgia $77,957</td>
<td>Ohio State $57,664</td>
<td>Georgia $48,489</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Texas 117</td>
<td>Penn State $13,706,660</td>
<td>Texas $117,158</td>
<td>Missouri $75,219</td>
<td>Georgia $57,160</td>
<td>Florida $46,098</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Illinois 96</td>
<td>Missouri $12,270,532</td>
<td>Florida $110,956</td>
<td>Ohio State $75,146</td>
<td>Texas $56,727</td>
<td>Ohio State $46,062</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Florida 85</td>
<td>Florida $9,431,328</td>
<td>Georgia $93,220</td>
<td>Florida $70,737</td>
<td>Florida $54,081</td>
<td>Texas $45,833</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Penn State 81</td>
<td>Illinois N/A</td>
<td>Illinois N/A</td>
<td>Illinois N/A</td>
<td>Illinois N/A</td>
<td>Illinois N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Missouri 72</td>
<td>Ohio State N/A</td>
<td>Ohio State N/A</td>
<td>Penn State N/A</td>
<td>Penn State N/A</td>
<td>Penn State N/A</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note. Not Available (N/A).
<sup>b</sup>Florida National Quality Benchmarking Project Questionnaire.
<sup>c</sup>Per faculty calculations based on number of full-time faculty.
<sup>d</sup>ACSESULGC/APU Salary Survey.
## Table 2
2001-2002 National Comparison of Research Productivity

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Research Expenditures</th>
<th>Research Expenditures per Faculty</th>
<th>% Faculty in Funded Research</th>
<th>Books per Faculty</th>
<th>Book Chapters per Faculty</th>
<th>Refereed Articles per Faculty</th>
<th>Other Publications per Faculty</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ohio State $19,600,000</td>
<td>Florida $162,353</td>
<td>Penn State 72%</td>
<td>Penn State 0.36</td>
<td>Indiana 0.64</td>
<td>Penn State 1.89</td>
<td>Penn State 4.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minnesota $19,400,000</td>
<td>Missouri $155,556</td>
<td>Minnesota 61%</td>
<td>Missouri 0.26</td>
<td>Florida 0.53</td>
<td>Florida 1.75</td>
<td>Georgia 2.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wisconsin $17,800,000</td>
<td>Minnesota $151,563</td>
<td>Illinois 56%</td>
<td>Florida 0.22</td>
<td>Georgia 0.31</td>
<td>Missouri 1.69</td>
<td>Florida 1.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Georgia $17,200,000</td>
<td>Ohio State $149,618</td>
<td>Indiana 56%</td>
<td>Indiana 0.12</td>
<td>Missouri 0.29</td>
<td>Georgia 1.29</td>
<td>Indiana 0.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Texas $15,500,000</td>
<td>Texas $132,479</td>
<td>Florida 50%</td>
<td>Georgia N/A</td>
<td>Penn State 0.25</td>
<td>Indiana 1.02</td>
<td>Missouri 0.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Florida $13,800,000</td>
<td>Wisconsin $109,877</td>
<td>Ohio State 50%</td>
<td>Illinois N/A</td>
<td>Illinois N/A</td>
<td>Illinois N/A</td>
<td>Illinois N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Missouri $11,200,000</td>
<td>Penn State $109,877</td>
<td>Georgia 47%</td>
<td>Minnesota N/A</td>
<td>Minnesota N/A</td>
<td>Minnesota N/A</td>
<td>Minnesota N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indiana $10,500,000</td>
<td>Illinois $96,875</td>
<td>Missouri 40%</td>
<td>Ohio State N/A</td>
<td>Ohio State N/A</td>
<td>Ohio State N/A</td>
<td>Ohio State N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Illinois $9,300,000</td>
<td>Indiana $77,778</td>
<td>Texas 27%</td>
<td>Texas N/A</td>
<td>Texas N/A</td>
<td>Texas N/A</td>
<td>Texas N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Penn State $8,900,000</td>
<td>Georgia $72,881</td>
<td>Wisconsin 22%</td>
<td>Wisconsin N/A</td>
<td>Wisconsin N/A</td>
<td>Wisconsin N/A</td>
<td>Wisconsin N/A</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note. Not Available (N/A).
\(^b\)Per faculty calculations based on number of full-time faculty.
\(^c\)Florida National Quality Benchmarking Project Questionnaire.
Table 3
2001-2002 National Comparison of Teaching Productivity

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Undergraduate Credit Hours per Faculty&lt;sup&gt;ab&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
<th>Graduate Credit Hours per Faculty&lt;sup&gt;ab&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
<th>BA/BS Degrees Awarded per Faculty&lt;sup&gt;ac&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
<th>MA/EdS Degrees Awarded per Faculty&lt;sup&gt;ac&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
<th>PhD/EdD Degrees Awarded per Faculty&lt;sup&gt;ac&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
<th>% National Exams Passed&lt;sup&gt;ac&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Texas 479</td>
<td>Florida 297</td>
<td>Penn State 6.25</td>
<td>Minnesota 7.87</td>
<td>Texas 1.07</td>
<td>Florida 100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Florida 423</td>
<td>Minnesota 297</td>
<td>Texas 4.29</td>
<td>Florida 5.51</td>
<td>Missouri 1.06</td>
<td>Georgia 100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Penn State 420</td>
<td>Missouri 202</td>
<td>Indiana 4.29</td>
<td>Ohio State 5.11</td>
<td>Penn State 0.84</td>
<td>Illinois 100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minnesota 364</td>
<td>Texas 188</td>
<td>Minnesota 3.50</td>
<td>Missouri 4.21</td>
<td>Minnesota 0.84</td>
<td>Missouri 99%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indiana 353</td>
<td>Indiana 149</td>
<td>Wisconsin 3.34</td>
<td>Georgia 2.03</td>
<td>Illinois 0.77</td>
<td>Minnesota 97%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wisconsin 329</td>
<td>Georgia 140</td>
<td>Missouri 2.86</td>
<td>Illinois 1.96</td>
<td>Wisconsin 0.77</td>
<td>Texas 95%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Georgia 212</td>
<td>Penn State 102</td>
<td>Florida 2.66</td>
<td>Indiana 1.87</td>
<td>Indiana 0.63</td>
<td>Indiana 95%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Missouri 175</td>
<td>Wisconsin 101</td>
<td>Georgia 2.35</td>
<td>Penn State 1.87</td>
<td>Ohio State 0.56</td>
<td>Ohio State N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Illinois N/A</td>
<td>Illinois N/A</td>
<td>Illinois N/A</td>
<td>Texas 1.41</td>
<td>Florida 0.52</td>
<td>Penn State N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ohio State N/A</td>
<td>Ohio State N/A</td>
<td>Ohio State N/A</td>
<td>Wisconsin 1.10</td>
<td>Georgia 0.50</td>
<td>Wisconsin N/A</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note. Not Available (N/A).
<sup>a</sup>Per faculty calculations based on number of full-time faculty.
<sup>b</sup>Florida National Quality Benchmarking Project Questionnaire.